

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/825,526 | 04/02/2001 | Florin Vlad | 81455-4003 | 9771 |
| 28765 7 | 05/10/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| WINSTON & STRAWN PATENT DEPARTMENT | | | PADEN, CAROLYN A | |
| 1400 L STREET, N.W. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3502 | | | 1761 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | $\bigcirc \lor)$ | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/825,526 | VLAD, FLORIN | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Carolyn A Paden | 1761 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriation or the fee. The appropriginally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | • | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | roved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | CAROLYN PADEN 5- IMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1360 / 70 | | | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments concerning thelower limit of co-solvent in Wolf are not persuasive.